

Apr 22, 2026

F2F Steering Committee Agenda @ OCX26

| Agenda Topics | Moderator | Duration |
|---|-----------------|----------|
| Welcome | Mark | 5 |
| Create a common statement to the situation (slowly decreasing numbers, how to cope with this. (Johannes) New contributors, committers and downloads. | Johannes/Thomas | 25 |
| How to keep contributors and committers actively involved (Johannes) | Johannes/Thomas | 15 |
| How can we better activate companies or dependent projects with long dependency periods (Johannes) → Switch to active maintenance mode, including how to save money? | Johannes/Thomas | 15 |
| Community Activities in 2026 (Johannes) Plans for f2f meetups? | Thomas | 10 |
| AOB | – | 5 |

Reserve topics

| | | |
|--|------|----|
| Any situation or requirement changes from companies already in the Eclipse IDE WG? Any visibility of changes, or same commitment/requirements in the remainder of 2026 and into 27? (Mark) | Mark | 10 |
|--|------|----|

| | | |
|---|--------------|----|
| Can we consider a name change/rebrand of the Eclipse IDE WG and Charter? Becoming harder to explain to senior mgmt. (Manoj) | Thomas/Manoj | 10 |
|---|--------------|----|

Reminder: if you cannot attend the meeting, please arrange for your alternate to take over.

Minutes

Minute Taking

- Latest minutes based on AI transcription are rather transcripts than a valuable high-level summary of key points and relevant outcomes
 - Expectation is that person responsible for minute taking summarizes and points out highlights / important key points of AI transcript
 - Minutes of previous meeting should be revised by the responsible before they will be approved

- Create a common statement to the situation (slowly decreasing numbers, how to cope with this. (Johannes) New contributors, committers and downloads.
 - Current numbers are still high for an open source projects.
 - But, numbers are decreasing and membership is not increasing. Members are the same. Hundreds of thousands of people use it, but how to engage and deal with these things?
 - How to secure the technology moving forwards and explain to new members and continue the community?

Ed: Most projects in decent shape, projects are responsive and it is mainly working well.

Thomas: How to activate companies that depend on our technology? These companies have the same need and need to keep the technology available. How to help people to convince the decision makers to make a selection.

John: There is already a slide stack. The slide deck is enough to convince the person we are interacting with. However, it seems not enough for upper management or decision makers. Johannes suggests bringing in upper management from the Foundation.

Heiko wonders about the pay wall path. If you join you get something more as the current way has not worked well. Thomas thinks from the end of last year the discussions to convert are now active. Before then not so much due to good contracts.

Heiko: Concrete examples of pay wall things for open source.

- CRA topics could be behind the pay wall.
- Hot fix updates for security vulnerabilities.
- Maintenance builds for older releases.

Manoj: Some kind of additional stamp the WG can provide. Some kind of certification or something.

Martin: Risk management - give the companies the risk and then they pay to remove that risk. There is no guarantee of anything when joining the WG. We are not talking about new companies, we are not talking about users.

Martin: We could sell a service agreement, security patches and so on. But the issue is with the next level of people that are just waiting on the fixes.

OpenVSX is a product with a SLA. There are dedicated people working on the project.

Would companies pay a SLA...maybe?

Mark thinks this would probably accelerate Renesas leaving the group even if we paid the money. Even if we agreed for a short time.

The hook for each company is different. E.g, Renesas is GTK4 and Linux, and someone else is C++ 21 support. So the cost for such guarantees would be heavy, not viable.

Some companies that use the platform are happy on old builds and old versions. But CRA could attract them.

Heiko thinks that development items are not likely. But CRA related things could be SLA level arrangement. We could guarantee such things as part of the WG as we all need to do these things.

SBOM are already created for each project in SimRel and some other things. Ed not worried about CVEs.

There is a tool that can show CVE in existing generated SBOM.

SBOM is part of the CRA but there is more to this.

Hannes: How to handle companies that are not WG members but provide resource? We could add this again to the IDE WG charter that instead of funding it, dedicated resources could be made available.

Heiko: We need to decide urgently if the CRA materials and so on is what we want to gate behind the paywall.

Jonah thinks the Foundation charging for services will be a big shock to the community.

Action: Conclusion is that the CRA process and related documentation could be created by open source teams inside the Foundation/Working groups. Then members are permitted to access and those not in the WG are not permitted. Thomas, to investigate this among other areas of the Foundation.

- Community Activities in 2026 (Johannes)
 - Plans for f2f meetups?

SAP: Happy to hold something - likely to be possible.

Suggestion would be the beginning of September perhaps.

Action: Yannick side to check meeting room availability. Suggestion to be community day and ide wg day. Consider what is possible and propose some dates. Check Beg and End July dates and also end September.

Action: Vector to firm up November date possibilities.

Hannes wondered if we should do a Community day. Alternate EclipseCon? Theia has TheiaCon. Perhaps an extended community day to 2 days and cover IDE related topics.

Action: Mark to add possible extended Community day idea to subsequent WG agenda.

Manoj: Interested in changing the name. Other working groups thinking a similar way.

We will discuss this in the coming months.

This could be a viable thing moving forwards.

Action: Mark to add to subsequent Eclipse IDE WG agenda.

Action: Mark to cover the Ed/advertising topic in the future agenda.

- Supporter Member (27/01/26)
- IBM (10/02/26)
- SAP (24/02/26)
- Renesas (17/03/26)
- Vector (24/03/26)
- SSI Schaeffer (LAST DATE)
- Bosch (LAST DATE)
- Yatta (LAST DATE)
- Committer Member (LAST DATE)
- Planning Council Lead (LAST DATE)

Attendees

Steering Committee Members:

Number of Seats 10 / Quorum is 50% of Committee / Passing a resolution is > 50% of quorum present

- **Manoj Palat / Andrew Tram (IBM)**
- **Sebastian Ratz / Yannic Soethoff (SAP)**
- Manuel Bork / Andreas Koch - (Yatta)
- **Mark Goodchild / William Riley (Renesas)**
- Abdul Rahiman Imran / Latha Patil / **Harald Mackamul (Bosch)**
- **Johannes Matheis / Max Kramer (Vector)**
- **Heiko Klare (Planning Council Chair)**
- Hartwig Brandl (SSI Schaefer)
- **Jonah Graham (Kichwa Coders) - Supporter Representative - Elected**
- Olivier Prouvost - Committer Representative - Elected

Other Attendees:

- **Thomas Froment (Eclipse Foundation)**
- Sharon Corbett (Eclipse Foundation)
- Tanja Obradovic (Eclipse Foundation)
- **Ed Merks (Eclipse Foundation, Sim Rel Engineer)**
- Wayne Beaton (Eclipse Foundation)

Supporter Members:

- **Gilles Iachelini (MTrail)**
- Remi Schnekenburger (EclipseSource)

- **Martin Lippert (Broadcom)**
- Guest: Christoph Czernohous (iJug)
- **Guest: John Dallaway**
- **Guest: Hannes Wellmann**